

New Zealand Gazette

OF THURSDAY, 10 JANUARY 2002

WELLINGTON: THURSDAY, 10 JANUARY 2002 — ISSUE NO. 2

OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED BOUNDARIES AND CLASSIFICATION OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS OF NEW ZEALAND

Objections to Proposed Boundaries and Classification of Electoral Districts of New Zealand

The Representation Commission appointed pursuant to section 28 of the Electoral Act 1993 hereby publishes, in accordance with the provisions of section 38 of that Act, a summary of the objections lodged with the Commission to the proposed boundaries and classification of the electoral districts, as set out in the Schedule hereto.

The full objections are available for public inspection at the offices of the Chief Electoral Office, Level 9, Sovereign House, 180 Molesworth Street, Wellington from Thursday 10 January 2002 until Thursday 24 January 2002 or individual objections by request through our 0800 service 0800 800 610. Those requiring photocopies of the full objections may incur photocopying charges. Copies of objection summaries are available for viewing at the places set out in the Schedule hereto:

The Representation Commission will receive written counter-objections to these objections, or any of them, if in the hands of the Commission no later than Thursday 24 January 2002. Late Counter-objections i.e. those received after Thursday 24 January 2002, cannot be considered.

Counter-objections may be lodged either:

by post addressed to The Secretary

Representation Commission

PO Box 3220 Wellington

or by facsimile to Facsimile Number (04) 495 0031

or by e-mail representation.commission@justice.govt.nz

or by hand delivery to

before 5.00pm

Level 9

Sovereign House 180 Molesworth Street

Chief Electoral Office

Wellington

representation.commission@justice.govt.nz or by e-mail

All counter-objections are requested to clearly state:

- the name, address and telephone contact number (day and night) of the counter-objector; (i)
- (ii) the electorate(s) to which the counter-objection applies;
- the specific objection(s) the counter-objection applies to, using where possible the (iii) objection numbers allocated by the Commission;
- (iv) the grounds of the counter-objection; and
- (v) the solution suggested by the counter-objector.

The Commission requests that counter-objectors indicate whether they wish to speak briefly to their counter-objections before meetings of the Commission to be held in Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch, Dunedin and possibly other locations as required in February 2002.

Full details of each counter-objection must be in writing. Whether or not a counter-objector is heard, his or her written counter-objection will be fully considered. However, late counter-objections cannot be considered.

A separate counter-objection should be filed in respect of each separate objection which you oppose.

A suggested format for counter-objections is available at the places listed in the Schedule hereto.

Dated this 8th day of January 2002.

Judge B. J. Kendall, Chairman Representation Commission.

Schedule

General and Maori Electorates

- 1 The offices of all *District Councils*, *City Councils*, *Chatham Islands County and Te Puia Springs Service Centre*.
- 2 The offices of all *Registrars of Electors*.
- 3 *Te Puni Kokiri (Regional Development Offices)* at Whangarei, Auckland, South Auckland, Hamilton, Rotorua, Tauranga, Whakatane, New Plymouth, Wanganui, Gisborne, Hastings, Palmerston North, Masterton, Wellington, Picton and Christchurch.
- 4 *Maori Land Court Offices* at Whangarei, Papatoetoe, Hamilton, Rotorua, Gisborne, Hastings, Turangi, Wanganui, Wellington and Christchurch.
- 5 **Land Information New Zealand Offices** (LINZ) at Auckland, Hamilton, New Plymouth, Wellington, Christchurch and Dunedin.

Note: Public Libraries will not be gazetted as places for inspection. Proposed General and Maori electorates together with maps, descriptions and reasons will be available at libraries in those areas where boundary changes have occurred.

Notes:

- Summarised objections have been allocated under the proposed electorate where the area specified in the objection is located. For example, if an objector stated an objection to, say, Pyes Pa being located in the proposed Rotorua Electorate OR objected to Pyes Pa not being in the Bay of Plenty Electorate, then in both cases the objection has been assigned to the proposed Rotorua Electorate.
- It is recommended that people interested in a particular electorate should also look at
 objections relating to neighbouring electorates as these could affect the electorate they
 are concerned with.

Abbreviations used: b relates to an objection to a boundary

n relates to an objection to a name

c relates to an objection to a classification

h objector has requested a hearing

Electoral District and Nature of Objection

N01 Northland

N01 c **Objection J Carter MP**

Objects to the classification of the Northland electorate as an 'F' electorate, as it is one of the four 'F' electorates with a greater geographical area than the two 'G' electorates (Taupo and Taranaki-King Country).

Suggested solution Move this electorate and the other three large 'F' electorates (Kaikoura, Otago and Clutha-Southland) into the 'G' classification.

NO5 Northcote

N05 001 *n* **Objection W J Barraclough**

Objects to the name 'Northcote' for the proposed electorate as this does not reflect the community of interest of much of the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution A neutral name would be more appropriate, such as the name 'Northbridge'.

N07 Helensville

N07 001 b **Objection** L & J Hennessy

Objects to the inclusion of Greenhithe in the proposed Helensville electorate as its community of interest and location fall within the scope of North Shore.

Suggested solution Extend the North Shore boundary to include its immediate neighbour Greenhithe.

			Electoral District and Nature of Objection
NOT	002	,	
N07	002	b	Objection A D Lee
			Similar to N07/001
			Greenhithe is aligned with the Albany/East Coast/North Shore areas, not the western or rural areas.
			Suggested solution Keep Greenhithe in its existing electorate of Albany.
N07	003	b	Objection C R Collett
			Objects to the boundary in the Escott Road/Drury Lane area (near Dairy Flat) as these two roads are essentially 'paper roads'. Also objects to the boundary in the Kaukapakapa/Waitoki area.
			Suggested solution Blackridge Road is a more natural boundary than Escott Road and Drury Lane. Haruru Road from Wainui to Makarau is a more useful boundary than Rapson Road and Stoney Creek.
N07	004	n	Objection N Milich
			Objects to the name 'Helensville' for the proposed electorate.
			Suggested solution Call the electorate 'Kaipara South'.
N07	005	b	Objection S J Franklin
			Similar to N07/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N07/001
N07	006	b	Objection S L Johnson
			Similar to N07/001
			Suggested solution Expand the Albany electorate to include Greenhithe, Coatesville and Paremoremo. Then have two Waitakere electorates instead of the proposed three. This way there would be consistent representation for North Shore residents.
N07	007	b	Objection J C Franklin
			Similar to N07/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N07/006
N07	008	b	Objection K J Jordan
			Objects to the use of the Waitakere River as the south-western boundary of the proposed electorate, as this river is not a static boundary but varies depending on weather patterns.
			Suggested solution Use Bethells Road as the electoral boundary all the way to the coast.
N07	009	b	Objection E A & A G Edwards
			Similar to N07/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N07/001
N07	010	b	Objection C A & O P Bell
			Similar to N07/001
			Suggested solution Use Lucas Creek as a natural boundary between North Shore and Rodney. The Northcote area could be placed in Te Atatu.

			Electoral District and Nature of Objection
N07	011	b	Objection C A Wereszczynska Similar to N07/001 Suggested solution Similar to N07/001
N08			Waitakere
N08	001	b	Objection D J Rogerson
			Objects to the inclusion of Titirangi Village Centre in the proposed Waitakere electorate. Supports the inclusion of Laingholm, Parau, Huia and Cornwallis in the proposed New Lynn electorate.
			Suggested solution Both sides of Titirangi Road, Scenic Drive and Kohu Road should be included in New Lynn.
N08	002	b	Objection L H Van den Voort
			Objects to the inclusion of parts of Titirangi Village Centre, including Titirangi Primary School, in the proposed Waitakere electorate on the grounds that their community of interest lies with New Lynn.
			Suggested solution Follow Scenic Drive, Konini Road, Kopiko Road through Daffodil Reserve, then along Woodside Glen to join Titirangi Road at the Godley Road intersection.
N08	003	b	Objection R A Neville
			Similar to N08/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N08/002
N08	004	b	Objection Titirangi Ratepayers & Residents Association
			Similar to N08/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N08/002
N08	005	b	Objection New Lynn Community Board
			Similar to N08/003
			Suggested solution Similar to N08/003
N08	006	b	Objection E Hyde
			Objects to the inclusion of the small area around Helena Park in Massey West in the proposed Waitakere electorate when its community of interest lies with Te Atatu.
			Suggested solution Continue the western boundary along Don Buck Road to Swanson Road. To compensate for the loss of population, the area south of Glenview and Great North Roads could be transferred into the Waitakere electorate where its community of interest lies.
N08	007	b	Objection D J Lawrence
			Similar to N08/003
			Suggested solution Similar to N08/003

			Electoral District and Nature of Objection
N08	008	b	Objection M Carlyle
			Similar to N08/007
			Suggested solution Similar to N08/007
N08	009	b, h	Objection Titirangi Business Association
			Similar to N08/003
			Suggested solution Follow Atkinson Road to meet Titirangi Road.
N08	010	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection K Elcoat
			Similar to N08/001 and N08/003
			Suggested solution Move the coastal strip from Wood Bay to Whatipu and Waitakere. Move the Kelston area bounded to the west by Hepburn Road to the New Lynn electorate. Move McLaren Park, bounded by Henderson Valley Road, Pine Avenue, Parrs Cross Road and West Coast Road to the Te Atatu Electorate. If necessary for population tolerance, the Massey West area could be transferred from Te Atatu to Helensville.
N08	011	b, h	Objection I D Smith
			Objects to the degree of boundary change to the existing Titirangi electorate.
N08	012	b, h	Objection NZ Labour Party
			Similar to N08/007
			Suggested solution Similar to N08/007
N09			Te Atatu
N09	001	b	Objection M R Jones
			Objects to the area of Glen Eden bounded by Great North Road, Glenview Road and West Coast Road being included in the proposed Te Atatu electorate as its community of interest lies with the rest of Glen Eden in the Waitakere electorate.
			Suggested solution Move this area into the Waitakere electorate.
N09	002	b	Objection G Marshall
			Similar to N09/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N09/001
N09	003	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection P J Price
			Similar to N09/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N09/001 with the addition of the Waikumete Cemetery.

N10			New Lynn
N10	001	b	Objection T A W MacLachlan
			Suggests the following amendments to the proposed boundary for clarity:
			- The boundary along Stottholm Road should be extended along the full length of Stottholm Road to Golf Road.
			- The boundary at the junction of Rua Road and West Coast Road be moved slightly to clarify that none of West Coast Road is in the New Lynn electorate.
			- The Boundary near the junction of New North Road and Bollard Avenue should be moved so that all of Bollard Avenue is in the New Lynn electorate.
N10	002	b, h	Objection A J & K V Ryan
			Objects to the inclusion of the area between Boundary Road, White Swan Road and Hillsborough Road in the proposed New Lynn electorate as their community of interest lies with their previous electorate, Mt Roskill.
			Suggested solution Leave this area in Mt Roskill.
N10	003	b	Objection G R & C Cundall
			Similar to N10/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N10/002
N10	004	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection E Agterberg
			Similar to N10/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N10/002
N10	005	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection T Agterberg
			Similar to N10/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N10/002
N10	006	b	Objection F Paterson & 192 others
			Similar to N10/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N10/002
N10	007	b	Objection Laingholm Playcentre
			Supports the proposed electorate boundary as it stands. Would object to any changes that might see the area of Laingholm, Parau and Huia included in the proposed electorate of Waitakere.
N10	800	b	Objection H L Woods & 33 others
			Similar to N10/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N10/002
N10	009	b	Objection Laingholm & Districts Citizens Association
			Similar to N10/007

			Electoral District and Nature of Objection
N10	010	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection NZ Labour Party
			Similar to N10/002
			Suggested solution The boundary continue from the end of the Maungakiekie Golf Course along Richardson Road. Then west along White Swan Road, then north along Boundary Road, rejoining the proposed boundary where Rosamund Avenue joins John Davis Road.
N10	011	b	Objection Parau Residents & Ratepayers Association (Inc)
			Similar to N10/007
N11			Mt Albert
N11	001	b, h	Objection J Hall
			Objects to the inclusion of the Newton/Kingsland area bounded by the Northwestern motorway, Great North Road, Newton Road and Dominion Road being included in the proposed Mt Albert electorate on the grounds of community of interest.
			Suggested solution Retain this area in Auckland Central, and shift the Point Chevalier area into Mt Albert.
N11	002	b	Objection J C Paynter
			Similar to N11/001
			Suggested solution Retain the present boundary along the railway line, Western Springs Road. Move Point Chevalier into Mt Albert using a natural boundary such as Motions Creek to Western Springs.
N11	003	b	Objection S Shayer
			Similar to N11/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N11/002
N11	004	b, h	Objection M S Turei
			Similar to N11/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N11/001
N11	005	b	Objection R A Green
			Similar to N11/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N11/002
N11	006	b	Objection D Aloupis
			Similar to N11/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N11/001
N11	007	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection K Walker
			Similar to N11/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N11/001

			Electoral District and Nature of Objection
N11	008	b	Objection J Devlin
			Similar to N11/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N11/001
N11	009	b	Objection W T Stanton
			Similar to N11/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N11/002
N11	010	b, h	Objection Green Party of Aotearoa New Zealand
			Similar to N11/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N11/001
			The boundary could extend from the junction of St Lukes Road and Great North Road over Western Springs and Motions Road towards Meola Creek, which forms a natural eastern boundary for Point Chevalier.
N11	011	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection O Hoffmann
			Similar to N11/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N11/001
N11	012	b	Objection D Chhiba & 12 others
			Objects to the part of Owairaka (bounded by Mt Albert Road, Owairaka Avenue and Lorraine Avenue) previously in the Mt Roskill electorate being included in the proposed Mt Albert electorate on the grounds of community of interest.
			Suggested solution That this area be returned to the Mt Roskill electorate.
N11	013	b, h	Objection NZ Labour Party
			Similar to N11/012
			Suggested solution Similar to N11/012

N12 Mt Roskill

N12 001 b, h **Objection K Stone**

Objects to the inclusion of the Te Papapa area, bounded by Victoria Street, being included in the proposed Mt Roskill electorate on the grounds of population, existing boundaries and community of interest.

Suggested solution This area be included in the Maungakiekie electorate. If necessary for population, changes to the western boundary of Mt Roskill could be undertaken or the small area bounded by Manukau Road, One Tree Hill Domain and Campbell Road could be transferred to Mt Roskill.

N12 002 b, h Objection L B Craig

Similar to N12/001

Suggested solution This area be included in the Maungakiekie electorate.

N12 003 *b, h* **Objection NZ Labour Party**

The school catchment and the suburb of Te Papapa should be kept in the same electorate.

Suggested solution Similar to N12/002

N14 Epsom

N14 001 *n* **Objection R G Wallace**

That Epsom is an inappropriate name for an electorate that encompasses Remuera and other areas along with Epsom.

Suggested solution Call the electorate Hobson, the name given by Auckland City Council to the ward covering a similar area.

N15 Tamaki

N15 001 b Objection M J Gawith

Objects to the inclusion of the Panmure commercial area in the proposed Tamaki electorate, as a large proportion of the community that uses it is based in the Maungakiekie electorate.

Suggested solution Follow Pleasant View Road, across Pilkington Road and Te Koa Road, through the reserve between Kahu and Ngarimu Roads. Then across Tripoli Road, through the Mauinaina Reserve, across Dunkirk Road and over the Mt Wellington War Memorial to the Tamaki River.

N18 Manukau East

N18 001 b, h **Objection M Williams**

Objects to the splitting of Howick between three electorates.

Suggested solution Extend Manukau East to include all of the Howick and the Clevedon Wards of Manukau City. Move Otara, Papatoetoe and Manurewa to the Manurewa electorate. Move Golflands, Burswood, Greenmount, East Tamaki and possibly the area bounded by Chapel Road, Ti Rakau Drive and Te Irirangi Drive to the Pakuranga electorate. Change the name of Manukau East to Howick or Owairoa to reflect these changes.

Also suggests further adjustments to the boundaries of the proposed Pakuranga, Manukau East, Clevedon and Manurewa electorates.

N18 002 b Objection C Y Feng and 6 others

Objects to the inclusion of the area of Papatoetoe west of Great South Road (including Puhinui) being included in the proposed Manukau East electorate as their community of interest lies with the rest of Papatoetoe in the proposed Mangere electorate.

Suggested solution Move this area into the Mangere electorate. This would allow the suburbs of Shelly Park, Somerville, Shamrock Park, Dannemora and East Tamaki Heights to be included within Manukau East where their community of interest lies.

N18 003 b **Objection J T Liu and 11 others**

Objects to the inclusion of the area of Flat Bush and Chapel Downs in the proposed Manukau East electorate as its community of interest lies with the housing developments around Manukau Heights and the planned Flat Bush Centre.

Suggested solution Include this area in the proposed Clevedon electorate and shift the area of Howick comprising Shelly Park, Somerville, Shamrock Park, Dannemora and East Tamaki Heights into Manukau East where its community of interest lies.

N20 Manurewa

N20 001 *b* **Objection C E Bethell**

Objects to the inclusion of Ryan Place in the proposed electorate on grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution Include Ryan Place in the proposed Manukau East electorate – as are other residential areas south of Puhinui Road.

N21 Clevedon

N21 001 *b* **Objection P F O'Brien**

Objects to the lack of clarity in definition between the map and the description in the Conifer Grove area.

Suggested solution Amend the description to reflect the map.

N21 002 *b* **Objection B P Mockridge**

Objects to the inclusion of The Gardens suburb in the proposed Clevedon electorate as it is accessible only from Manurewa.

Suggested solution Use the boundary between Tamaki Makaurau and Pare Hauraki-Pare Waikato, between Redoubt Road and the Southern motorway, as the boundary for the general electorate. This would transfer The Gardens from Clevedon to Manurewa, and Randwick Park from Manurewa to Clevedon. If more population is required, the area of Chapel Downs east of Chapel Road could be transferred from Clevedon to Manurewa.

N22 Port Waikato

N22 001 b **Objection H Maloney, Mayor, Franklin District Council**

Objects to the inclusion of Raglan in the proposed Port Waikato electorate as its community of interest lies more with the proposed Piako electorate.

Suggested solution Put the northern part of Piako (Kaiaua, Maramarua, Te Kauwhata) into Port Waikato and the southern part of Port Waikato (Raglan) into Piako.

N22 002 *n* **Objection P Baker**

Objects to the use of the name Port Waikato for the proposed electorate as it has become increasingly inappropriate with the inclusion of large areas of Franklin in the electorate and the removal of areas in the south.

Suggested solution Rename the electorate 'Franklin'.

N22 003 *b* **Objection H Mitchell**

Objects to the inclusion of the area of Moumoukai in the proposed Port Waikato electorate as its community of interest lies with the Papakura district.

N23 Piako

N23 001 *b, h* **Objection A Millar**

Objects to the inclusion of Horotiu, Ngaruawahia and Taupiri in the proposed Piako electorate as their community of interest and communication links are stronger with Huntly, Raglan, Waingaro and areas to the west than with Morrinsville, Te Aroha, Matamata and Cambridge. Also, projected population variances suggest that the current proposed Port Waikato electorate will be over the 5% by high growth in the north of the electorate (Hunua and Drury).

Suggested solution The boundary between Huntly and Ngaruawahia follow Transit New Zealand's proposed motorway option 1 – south from the point that it intersects with the southern boundary of the Huntly Ward until it meets the proposed boundary of the Hamilton East Electorate. Then north, west and south of the proposed boundaries of Hamilton East and West electorates until it joins the proposed boundary in line with Saulbery Road, then south to Raglan.

N23 002 *b* **Objection A Ramsay**

Similar to N23/001

Suggested solution Similar to N23/001

N23 003 Objection I W McDougall n, *b*, *h* Objects to the inclusion of Rangariri, Te Kauwhata, Mercer, Maramarua, Pokeno, Mangatawhiri, Kaiaua and Matingarahi in the proposed Piako electorate, as these areas have a stronger community of interest with the proposed Port Waikato electorate. Suggested solution Move these areas into the Port Waikato electorate. To compensate for this shift in population, the southern part of Port Waikato below the Franklin District Council line west of SH1 should be included in the Piako electorate because of their strong affiliation with the Waikato. Rename the Port Waikato electorate 'Franklin' to reflect these changes. N23 004 b Objection D G MacPherson Similar to N23/001 Suggested solution Similar to N23/001 Or: Create two alternative electorates with an east-west orientation, rather than north-south orientation. A new 'Counties' electorate would include the northern areas of Piako and Port Waikato down to approximately Te Kauwhata. A new 'Waikato' electorate would almost encircle Hamilton below this boundary with three primary sections: Waikato District towns, Matamata-Piako District towns and Cambridge-Ohaupo. This area almost corresponds with the Central Waikato region. With population growth in Franklin greater than in Waikato, the boundary between these electorates could shift northwards as required while still remaining stable in character. N23 005 b **Objection N & M Matheson** Similar to N23/003 Suggested solution Similar to N23/003 N23 006 h **Objection C P Rigg** Similar to N23/001 Suggested solution Keep boundaries in line with local government boundaries. N23 007 b**Objection S J Dooley** Similar to N23/001 Suggested solution Similar to N23/001 N23 008 b **Objection K F Smith** Similar to N23/003 Suggested solution Similar to N23/003 N23 009 b, h**Objection G Axtens** Similar to N23/008 Suggested solution Similar to N23/003 N23 010 b, h**Objection T Tamati**

Similar to N23/001

Suggested solution Similar to N23/001

			Electoral District and Nature of Objection
N23	011	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection E R Quinn & 4 others
		,,,,	Similar to N23/003
			Suggested solution Similar to N23/003
N23	012	b	Objection Paparimu Residents Association
			Objects to the proposed boundary with Port Waikato running through the Paparimu Valley and dividing the small community there.
			Suggested solution The boundary continue along Medhurst Road, then east (along the hills) until it crosses Paparimu Road at a point approximately 2km south of the Paparata-Paparimu Road Junction. Then north to meet the Port Waikato boundary approximately 2km east of Matheson Road. All of the Paparimu Valley area would then be included in the Port Waikato electorate.
N23	013	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection P L Jamieson
			Similar to N23/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N23/004
N23	014	b	Objection NZ National Party — Central North Island Region
			Similar to N23/003
			Suggested solution Similar to N23/003 with an addition that that the new Piako electorate be named 'Waikato'.
N23	015	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection NZ Labour Party
			Objects to the inclusion of Taupiri and Hopu Hopu in the proposed Piako electorate as their community of interest lies with Huntly.
			Suggested solution Similar to N23/001
N23	016	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection NZ Labour Party
			Objects to the inclusion of Ngaruawahia in the proposed Piako electorate as its community of interest is urban and lies with the proposed Hamilton East electorate.
			Suggested solution Similar to N23/001

N24 Hamilton West

N24 001 b, h Objection S Wood

Objects to the inclusion of Tuhikaramea and Ngainapouri rural areas in the proposed, predominantly urban Hamilton west electorate.

Suggested solution The boundary should correspond as far as possible to the boundary of the Waipa District Council. Follow Collins Road, Koromatua Road, a line to Foster Road, to the end of Jury Road. Then along Tuhikaramea Road and Maisey Road to the Waipa River.

N24 002 b, h Objection D J Laird

Objects to the proposed boundary for the Hamilton West electorate as there is no allowance for population growth, which raises concerns of voter equity.

Suggested solution Use the 1998 proposed boundary as the southern boundary of Hamilton West. Include the Temple View area within Hamilton West to accommodate its community of interest.

N24 003 b, h **Objection NZ Labour Party**

Similar to N24/001 and N24/002

Suggested solution Move the Ngahinapouri, Tuhikaramea and Koromatua areas into Taranaki-King Country. The Horotiu area could be added to Hamilton West, if necessary.

N28 Bay of Plenty

N28 001 b Objection G M Campbell and 18 others

Objects to the area south-east of Girven Road in the existing Tauranga electorate being moved into the proposed Bay of Plenty electorate as the area's community of interest lies with Tauranga.

Suggested solution Retain the current boundary.

N28 002 b **Objection New Zealand First Party**

Objects to the inclusion of the Waimapu and Poike areas of Tauranga being included in the proposed Bay of Plenty electorate as their community of interest lies with Tauranga.

Suggested solution Retain the 1998 Boundary along SH29 for this area.

N28 003 b, h Objection NZ Labour Party

Similar to N28/001

Suggested solution Similar to N28/001.

Semi-rural areas such as Tauriko, Wairoa Pa and Bethlehem could be moved into the Bay of Plenty electorate.

N31 Taranaki–King Country

N31 001 *b* **Objection L P Hobbs**

Objects to the inclusion of Te Awamutu in the proposed Taranaki-King Country electorate on the grounds of community of interest. Te Awamutu's community of interest lies with the Waikato region, rather than Taranaki.

Suggested solution Divide New Plymouth in two with one half taking in the west and south of Taranaki and the other extending across the National Park area towards Taumarunui and Taihape. The Waipa District towns fit much better with those of the South Waikato District and perhaps the northern Karapiro towns could link with Coromandel.

			Electoral District and Nature of Objection
N31	002	b	Objection Te Awamutu Grey Power Committee & Members
			Similar to N31/001
N31	003	b	Objection B I Conaglen
			Objects to the size of the proposed Taranaki-King Country electorate as the distance involved is too great to be easily travelled.
			Suggested solution Retain the existing boundary.
N31	004	b	Objection B A Coxhead
			Similar to N31/003
			Also objects on the grounds of community of interest.
			Suggested solution Cut New Plymouth in half and keep South Taranaki within the Taranaki-King Country boundary.
N31	005	b	Objection D I Coxhead
			Similar to N31/003 and N31/004
			Suggested solution Change the population quota so that rural electorates can be smaller.
N31	006	b, h	Objection P A Murdoch
			Objects to the proposed electorate boundary on the grounds of community of interest, topographical features and facilities of communications and roads.
			Suggested solution Similar to N31/001 and N31/004
			Use Mount Messenger as a northern boundary. Extend the proposed Whanganui electorate south and inland.
N31	007	b, h	Objection G J Stewart
			Similar to N31/001
			Suggested solution Retain Te Awamutu as part of a Karapiro electorate.
N31	008	b, h	Objection Te Awamutu Community Board
			Similar to N31/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N31/007
N31	009	b	Objection W H & K E M Hewitt
			Similar to N31/001
N31	010	b	Objection A E Murray & 15 others (1 dissenter)
			Similar to N31/001
N31	011	b, h	Objection H R Hoskin for NZ National Party — Taranaki–King Country
			Similar to N31/001
			Suggested solution Create two electorates for New Plymouth and Taranaki by extending the New Plymouth electorate north, and establishing a 'Taranaki' electorate based on the Province, extending to Waitotara.
N31	012	b	Objection M R Gouk
			Similar to N31/001

			Electoral District and Nature of Objection
N31	013	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection Waipa District Council
			Similar to N31/001
N31	014	b	Objection E D Fraser
			Similar to N31/001
N31	015	b	Objection Combined Territorial Authorities of Taranaki
			Objects to the apparent lack of consideration of the Taranaki region's community of interest in the proposed electoral boundaries. In particular, the inclusion of parts of the Waikato in the proposed Taranaki-King Country electorate when parts of South Taranaki have been included in the Whanganui electorate.
			Suggested solution Establish a Taranaki electorate following the local government boundaries of the South Taranaki, Stratford and New Plymouth districts. This could extend to include Mokau and Awakino if required for population quotas. A new Whanganui-King Country electorate could be established with the remainder of the current Taranaki-King Country electorate split between electorates in Taupo-Waitomo and Karapiro.
N31	016	b	Objection J J Probert
			Similar to N31/001
			Suggested solution The boundary for the proposed Taranaki-King Country be along the southern Waikato-King Country regional border.
N31	017	b	Objection R G Probert
			Similar to N31/001
			Suggested solution Similar to N31/016
N31	018	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection B R Cavey
			Objects to the inclusion of the Opunake area and the balance of the coastal area of South Taranaki District in the proposed Taranaki-King Country electorate on grounds of community of interest with Hawera (which is in the proposed Whanganui electorate).

Suggested solution Include the remainder of South Taranaki (up to Stoney River) in the Whanganui electorate. To balance population, Eltham could be placed in the Taranaki-King Country electorate where its community of interest better lies.

N33 Whanganui

N33 001 *b* **Objection J F Stevenson**

Objects to the inclusion of Kaponga, Manaia, Eltham, Hawera, Patea and Waverley in the proposed Whanganui electorate as their community of interest lies with Taranaki.

Suggested solution Include the King Country in Waikato electorates (where its community of interest lies) and keep Taranaki as Taranaki.

ANUARY			NEW ZEALAND GAZETTE
			Electoral District and Nature of Objection
N33	002	b	Objection O M Hargreaves
			Similar to N33/001
			Suggested solution Keep the province of Taranaki as one piece. This could be done by using the South Taranaki District Council's boundary as the southern boundary of the Taranaki-King Country electorate. The Whanganui electorate could be extended along the Whanganui River and include the towns of Raetahi, Ohakune and even Taumarunui. Te Awamutu should be left within a Waikato electorate.
N33	003	b	Objection MB & HR Hoskin
			Similar to N33/001
			Suggested solution Divide Taranaki and New Plymouth into two electorates. Increasing the limits on variance from population quota would help.
N33	004	n	Objection R P Richardson
			Objects to the use of the name 'Whanganui' for the proposed electorate given the changes to its boundaries.
			Suggested solution Use 'Whanganui Coastal' as the electorate name.

N34 Waioeka

N34 001 *n* **Objection H J Kameta**

Objects to the use of Waioweka (objector's spelling) as an electorate name on the grounds that it is an ancestral Taonga Tuku Iho of Ngati Ira of Waioweka Pa.

Suggested solution That Waioweka not be used as an electorate name.

N34 002 *n* **Objection S M de Zouche Dudson**

Objects to the name 'Waioeka' as it does not encompass all regions within the proposed electorate, and is difficult to spell.

Suggested solution Retain the name 'East Coast'.

N34 003 n,b Objection K A Richardson

Objects to the inclusion of Whakatane within the proposed Waioeka electorate on the grounds that the proposed electorate is too big to be fairly represented. Also objects to the name 'Waioeka' as East Cape/Gisborne has no affiliation with Waioeka.

Suggested solution Similar to N34/002, with the addition that Whakatane be excluded.

	NEW ZEALAND GAZETTE					
			Electoral District and Nature of Objection			
N34	004	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection R J Anderson			
			Objects to the southern boundary of the proposed Waioeka electorate being placed at the Tutaekuri River as the area to the north of this has a stronger community of interest with Napier and Hawke's Bay than with the Bay of Plenty.			
			Suggested solution Reposition the boundary further north along the Napier-Taupo Highway (SH5).			
N34	005	b	Objection IR & S M Davis and 33 others			
			Similar to N34/004			
			Objects to the inclusion of the districts of Puketapu, Rissington, Patoka, Puketitiri and Pakatutu in the proposed Waioeka electorate on the grounds of community of interest.			
			Suggested solution Move the southern boundary of Waioeka north to follow the Esk River, SH5 and the Mohaka River.			
N34	006	b	Objection S E Absolom and 12 others			
			Similar to N34/004			
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/005			
N34	007	n	Objection V McKenzie for NZ National Party - Ohope			
			Similar to N34/002			
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002			
N34	800	n, h	Objection B N Barwick			
			Similar to N34/002			
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002			
N34	009	n	Objection D F Barwick			
			Similar to N34/002			
			Suggested solution Use the name 'Gisborne'.			
N34	010	n	Objection H R Barwick			
			Similar to N34/002			
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002			
N34	011	n	Objection E P Jaffarian			
			Objects to the change of name on the grounds of cost.			
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002			
N34	012	b	Objection A K Armstrong			

Similar to N34/005

Suggested solution Similar to N34/005

			Electoral District and Nature of Objection
N34	013	n	Objection NZ Labour Party - Gisborne Branch
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
			The topographical feature common to the whole proposed electorate is the East Coast coastline.
N34	014	b	Objection K Thomsen
			Similar to N34/005
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/005
N34	015	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection Opotiki District Council
			Objects to the Opotiki area being placed in the proposed Waioeka electorate on the grounds of community of interest.
			Also objects to the approach taken by the Commission in determining the proposed boundaries.
			Suggested solution Place more emphasis on boundary stability. Suggests adding another electorate in the Western Bay of Plenty and deleting one electorate from the East Coast (i.e. Wairarapa to the East Cape). Use the Manawatu Gorge as a natural topographic link between either side of the main North Island mountain range. White Island (Whakaari) should be included in the electorate that contains the Opotiki area.
N34	016	b	Objection P A Hartree
			Similar to N34/005
			Suggested solution Create a Hawke's Bay electorate.
N34	017	b	Objection P J & B O Gurran
			Objects to the size of the proposed Waioeka electorate.
			Suggested solution Place the rural area north of Napier in the Napier electorate.
N34	018	b	Objection M L Holt
			Similar to N34/017
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/005
N34	019	b, h	Objection W B Kirk
			Similar to N34/005
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/005
N34	020	b	Objection M C Downes
			Similar to N34/017
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/017
N34	021	b	Objection M M Halliday
			Similar to N34/005
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/005

			Electoral District and Nature of Objection
N34	022	b	Objection P B Crosse
		Ü	Similar to N34/005
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/005
N34	023	n	Objection W Reeves
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	024	n	Objection S Primrose for NZ National Party — Te Hapara
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	025	n	Objection S N Burland for NZ National Party — Te Hapara
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	026	n	Objection K M Small
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	027	n	Objection J S Von Pein
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	028	n	Objection H Te Apatari Porou
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	029	n	Objection P M Searle
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	030	n	Objection L A Willson
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	031	n	Objection M G Ferris
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	032	n	Objection A D Reeves for NZ National Party — East Coast
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	033	n	Objection G E Faulkner for NZ National Party — Muriwai
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002

			Electoral District and Nature of Objection
N34	034	n	Objection J G Mahoney
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Change the name to 'East Cape'.
N34	035	n	Objection P A Seymour for NZ National Party — East Coast
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	036	n	Objection Wairoa District Council
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	037	n	Objection N Hooper
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	038	n	Objection V McGreevy
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	039	n	Objection J R Harding
			Similar to N34/002
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/002
N34	040	n,b,	Objection K J Rose
		h	Similar to N34/004 and N34/005
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/004
			Transfer the balance of the Heretaunga ward from Napier to Tukituki to maintain the balance of numbers.
			The electorate name could be changed to 'Kaweka' after the name of the mountain range at the edge of the district to reflect the changes made.
N34	041	b, h	Objection W B Kirk
			Similar to N34/004 and N34/005
			Suggested solution Similar to N34/005

N35 Napier

N35 001 *b* **Objection H J Hatton**

Objects to the inclusion of Karamu High School in the proposed electorate. During the 1999 General Election, the school was in the Napier electorate, but issued no votes for this electorate.

Suggested solution To correct this anomaly, the boundary could be repositioned across a line drawn to include Beatson Street, parallel to Windsor Avenue, thus effectively including Riverslea School and Karamu High School within the Tukituki electorate.

N35 002 b Objection E F & J M Hill and 8 others

Objects to the area bounded by the Hastings/Napier expressway, Evenden Road, Percival Road and Lyndhurst Road being included in the proposed Napier electorate as its community of interest lies with the Tukituki electorate.

Suggested solution Continue the boundary along the expressway without deviation.

N36 Tukituki

N36 001 *b, h* **Objection K J Rose**

Objects to the proposed division of the Heretaunga Ward of Hastings District Council being between Tukituki and Napier on the grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution Include all of Heretaunga in the proposed Tukituki electorate. Tukituki could transfer some of its southern population to the proposed Wairarapa electorate. (See also N34/040)

N36 002 *b*, *h* **Objection D Hyde**

Similar to N36/001

Suggested solution Similar to N36/001

N36 003 *b* **Objection B P Fullarton-Smith**

Similar to N36/001

Suggested solution Similar to N36/001

N37 Wairarapa

N37 001 *n*, *h* **Objection K J Rose**

Objects to the use of the name 'Wairarapa' for the proposed electorate on the grounds of geography, as it now includes part of Hawke's Bay.

Suggested solution Change the name to 'Puketoi' – the mountain range that runs through southern Hawke's Bay and Northern Wairarapa.

N42 Rimutaka

N42 001 b, h Objection Belmont Ratepayers Improvement Association (Inc)

Object to the inclusion of the area between Speedy's Creek and Liverton Road in the proposed Rimutaka electorate on the grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution Run the southern boundary of Rimutaka along Liverton Road, not Speedy's Creek.

N42 002 b **Objection Western Ward Committee, Hutt City Council**

Object to the inclusion of Belmont Flat in the proposed Rimutaka electorate as its community of interest lies with the rest of Belmont, incorporated into the proposed Ohariu-Belmont electorate.

Suggested solution Incorporate the entirety of the western hills into the Hutt electorates (Hutt South and Rimutaka) and adjust the Naenae boundary to allow for the increased population in these electorates.

N46 Rongotai

N46 001 *n* **Objection M Darroch**

Objects to the use of only the name 'Rongotai' for an electorate that extends to the Chatham Islands.

Suggested solution Rename the electorate Chathams-Rongotai.

S02 West Coast-Tasman

S02 001 *b* **Objection P J Wilks**

Objects to the Redwood Valley area of Richmond being included in the proposed West Coast-Tasman electorate as its community of interest lies with Nelson.

Suggested solution Include this area in the proposed Nelson electorate.

S02 002 b Objection B W & H C Moseley

Similar to S02/001

Suggested solution If Nelson is too large to include the Tasman area, perhaps a separate Tasman electorate including Motueka and Takaka could be established – particularly with the rate of growth in this area.

S02 003 b, h Objection NZ National Party — Canterbury / Westland Region

Objects to the division of the communities of Fox Hill and Bellgrove by the proposed boundaries, on the grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution Move the remainder of the Fox Hill and Bellgrove areas into West Coast-Tasman.

S03 Kaikoura S03 001 Objection PJ McKee b Objects to the inclusion of the communities of Loburn, Okuku, Ashley, Sefton and Balcairn in the Kaikoura electorate as these areas are largely comprised of lifestyle blocks whose community of interest lies naturally with Rangiora and Christchurch. Suggested solution Include those areas north of the Ashley River, within the Waimakariri District, within the Waimakariri electorate. **S06** Wigram S06 001 bObjection N & G F Madigan Object to the inclusion of parts of Riccarton (Kilmarnock Street in particular) in the proposed Wigram electorate. 002 S06 b **Objection I M Clark** Similar to S06/001. Objects to the inclusion of the area of Riccarton north of Riccarton Road in the proposed Wigram electorate on the grounds of community of interest and topography. Suggested solution Riccarton Road forms a natural boundary, so this should be used as the north boundary of the Wigram electorate. S06 003 b Objection JA & JD Smith Similar to S06/002 Suggested solution Similar to S06/002 S06 004 b **Objection G K Friend** Similar to S06/002 Suggested solution Similar to S06/002 S06 005 b**Objection R Lowe** Objects to the inclusion of parts of Riccarton (Bradshaw Terrace in particular) in the proposed Wigram electorate. Suggested solution Similar to S06/002 S06 006 bObjection M L & J M Newman Objects to the inclusion of parts of Riccarton (Ayr Street in particular) in the proposed Wigram electorate. Suggested solution Similar to S06/002 S06 007 b **Objection M Murrell** Similar to S06/001 S06 008 *b*, *h* **Objection H C Broughton** Similar to S06/002

Suggested solution Similar to S06/002

			Electoral District and Nature of Objection
S06	009	b	Objection J Cooper
			Objects to the inclusion of parts of Riccarton (Matai Street West in particular) in the proposed Wigram electorate.
S06	010	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection NZ National Party — Ilam Electorate
			Similar to S06/002
			Suggested solution Similar to S06/002 with a consequential change in the north-west corner of the proposed Wigram electorate.
			The boundary to run north along Cutts Road to a point where it follows the property line, north along Ansonby Place and Karnak Crescent across open ground to Russley Road. This area should be included in the Wigram electorate.
S06	011	<i>b</i> , <i>h</i>	Objection NZ National Party — Canterbury / Westland Region
			Similar to S06/002
			Suggested solution Similar to S06/010, except the portion to be moved into Wigram should be bounded by Cutts Road, Woodbury Street, Russley Road

S07 Christchurch Central

and Yaldhurst Road.

S07 001 b, h Objection NZ Labour Party

Objects to the inclusion of Fraser and Wiremu Streets in the proposed Christchurch Central electorate when their community of interest lies with areas, north of Winters Road, in the Waimakariri electorate.

Suggested solution Follow Cranford Street, south-east from its intersection with Main North Road for 0.7 km and then diagonally north-east to Winters Road.

S09 Banks Peninsula

S09 001 b, h Objection NZ National Party — Canterbury / Westland Region

Objects to the inclusion of the Woolston area in the proposed Banks Peninsula electorate on the grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution Move this area to the Christchurch East electorate. Move the Dallington area of Christchurch East to Christchurch Central. Move the Hillmorton area of Wigram to Banks Peninsula. Move the Tai Tapu and St John of God areas of Rakaia to Banks Peninsula. Move the Sydenham area of Christchurch Central to Wigram.

Or:

Move the area of Woolston/Linwood bounded by Tilford Street and Ferry Road to Christchurch East.

S10 Rakaia

S10 001 b, h Objection P J Graham

Objects to the inclusion of the Port Hills region in the proposed Rakaia electorate as its community of interest lies with Banks Peninsula.

Suggested solution Use SH75 as the boundary between electorates.

S10 002 *b, h* **Objection M O Hely & 55 others**

Similar to S10/001

Objects to the inclusion of the inland hills of Banks Peninsula (Old Tai Tapu Road area) being included in the proposed Rakaia electorate as their community of interest lies with Banks Peninsula.

Suggested solution Similar to S10/001. Follow SH75 to Motukaraka.

S10 003 *b* **Objection P C Rhodes**

Objects to the inclusion of the Taitapu area in the proposed Rakaia electorate.

Suggested solution Place the Taitapu area in the Banks Peninsula electorate.

M03 Pare Hauraki–Pare Waikato

M03 001 b, h **Objection NZ Labour Party**

Objects to the inclusion of parts of Manurewa in the proposed Pare Hauraki-Pare Waikato electorate on the grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution Transfer the area of Manurewa south of Alfriston Road into the Tamaki Makaurau electorate.

M03 002 n, b, Objection D C Webster

h

Objects to the name 'Pare Hauraki-Pare Waikato' for the proposed electorate, and to the proposed boundaries which split whanau and hapu communities of interest. Also notes inaccuracies in the Maori expressions used in the description of the boundaries.

Suggested solution Remove the hyphen from the proposed name. Move the southern boundary of Pare Hauraki-Pare Waikato electorate to include all of Aotea Harbour. Use SH31 as the boundary, near Kawhia. Amend the 'Summary of Reasons'.

M03 003 b, h **Objection M Tolich**

Similar to M03/001

Objects to the inclusion of the Mahia Road area (which has a high Maori population) in the proposed Pare Hauraki-Pare Waikato electorate on the grounds that the boundary splits the community of Manurewa.

Suggested solution The boundary should proceed up Pahurehure Inlet then along the top of the Manukau Golf Course then along to Porchester Road.

M03 004 b, h **Objection P L Taylor**

Objects to the inclusion of Karewa Island (Gannet Island) in the proposed Pare Hauraki-Pare Waikato electorate on the grounds that this island is part of the Ngati Maniapoto rohe.

Suggested solution Move this island to the Te Tai Hauauru electorate. If there are any changes to the boundaries of this electorate, then this island should remain with the rest of the Ngati Maniapoto rohe.

M05 Te Tai Hauauru

M05 001 n, b Objection Maniapoto Maori Trust Board

Objects to the inclusion of the Maniapoto Iwi area in the proposed Te Tai Hauauru electorate. Ngati Maniapoto's community of interest lies with the other Tainui Waka tribes to the north.

Suggested solution Move the northern boundary of Te Tai Hauauru south – commencing in the west at Waipingaeo (near the White Cliffs south of Mokau), heading inland towards and along the Taringamotu River (immediately north of Taumarunui).

Also, if this boundary adjustment can be achieved, it would make sense to change the name of the proposed Pare Hauraki-Pare Waikato electorate to 'Tainui'.

M05 002 n, b Objection D Te Kanawa

Similar to M05/001

Suggested solution Similar to M05/001

M05 003 *n, b* **Objection NZ Labour Party**

Similar to M05/001

Suggested solution Similar to M05/001, with the exclusion of the name change.

M05 004 b, h Objection Mana Motuhake and Tariana Turia

Similar to M05/001

Also objects to the geographical size disparity between the proposed Pare Hauraki-Pare Waikato and Te Tai Hauauru electorates.

Suggested solution The boundary of Pare Hauraki-Pare Waikato run from South Auckland to Mokau, and that of Te Tai Hauauru from Mokau down to Wellington.

M05 005 b, h Objection N C Mahuta

Similar to M05/001

Suggested solution Similar to M05/001

M05 006 n, b Objection Maniapoto Ki Roto Tamaki

Similar to M05/001

Suggested solution Similar to M05/001, with the exclusion of the name change.

M05 Objection Te Mauri o Maniapoto Council of Elders and 14 others

Similar to M05/001

Suggested solution Similar to M05/001, with the exclusion of the name change.

M06 Te Tai Rawhiti

M06 001 n, h Objection L L A P Jessup and 6 others

Objects to the proposed electorate being named 'Te Tai Rawhiti' on the grounds that the large area encompasses a number of Iwi.

Suggested solution Retain the name 'Ikaroa Rawhiti'.

M07 Te Tai Tonga

M07 001 *b* **Objection J H Lloyd**

Objects to the inclusion of Manor Park, Haywards and Kelson in the Te Tai Tonga electorate on the grounds that the proposed Rimutaka general electorate has been extended to include these areas. Keeping of these two boundaries together would improve administrative simplicity.

Suggested solution Include the areas of Manor Park, Haywards and Kelson in Te Tai Rawhiti.

M07 002 b, h **Objection Mana Motuhake**

Objects to the division of tribes and communities in the Wellington region between the proposed Te Tai Tonga, Te Tai Hauauru and Te Tai Rawhiti electorates on the grounds that urban and rural areas have different communities of interest.

Suggested solution The boundary of Te Tai Tonga should encompass all of Wellington.

M07 003 b, h Objection Wellington Tenths Trust

Similar to M07/002

The proposed boundary cuts right through the traditional border of the Te Ati Awa tribe. It excludes areas of utmost significance to Te Ati Awa (particularly areas of Lower Hutt), and includes other areas outside their borders (Tawa and parts of Porirua).

Suggested solution To follow the traditional border as far as possible. This would include a northward movement of the boundary between Te Tai Tonga and Te Tai Rawhiti and moving the whole of Tawa and Porirua into the Te Tai Hauauru electorate. A consequential change to the northern boundary of Te Tai Hauauru would be required. It is proposed this northern boundary exclude Te Awamutu.

GGG General

GGG 001 b, h Objection H R Hoskin

Objects to the limit of variance in the population quota being +/-5% as this causes frequent changes and disruption to communities.

Suggested solution Change the variance limit to +/-20%.

GGG 002 b **Objection J van Noorde**

Objects to the boundary change process because of the huge costs incurred and the lack of understanding amongst the populace of the implications involved.

Suggested solution Develop a far more simple process.

GGG 003 b **Objection B P Mockridge**

Notes that all South Auckland and Waikato electorates are near the upper limit of their quota variance (Pakuranga, Manukau East, Mangere, Manurewa, Clevedon, Port Waikato, Piako, Hamilton West and Hamilton East).

Based on the Representation Commission's projections, the electoral population of these nine electorates will exceed that of the ten electorates covering Auckland and North Auckland (Helensville, Waitakere, Te Atatu, New Lynn, Mt Albert, Mt Roskill, Auckland Central, Epsom, Tamaki, Maungakiekie).

Also, because the electoral population of South Auckland and Waikato is close to the upper limit, awkward and unnatural boundaries are required to keep the electorates within their quota tolerance: e.g. Howick split between Pakuranga, Clevedon and Manukau East; the Manurewa-Clevedon boundary differing from the Maori electorate boundary.

Suggested solution Transfer about 12,000 population from Pakuranga to Maungakiekie.

Distribute diminishing portions of this extra population westward, across Mt Roskill, New Lynn, Waitakere and Helensville so that each is closer to the quota.

Compensate Pakuranga from Clevedon, and Clevedon from Port Waikato and Piako so that each is closer to the quota.

GGG 004 b, h Objection S Russell

Objects to the general pattern of boundaries proposed as the Commission appears to have placed more emphasis on existing boundaries than on population criteria. Little consideration appears to have been given to projected variance in population.

Suggested solution That the Commission should take steps to amend the process by which proposed boundaries are devised in the future, to achieve a better balance between criteria.

